

Effect of Massive Fields on Inflation

S.G. Rubin

Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia;

Center for CosmoParticle Physics "Cosmion";

e-mail: serg.rubin@mtu-net.ru

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Effects caused by an additional massive scalar field interacting with an inflaton field are analyzed. Inflation is shown to have two stages, the first of which is dominant and characterized by ultraslow dynamics of the inflaton field. Constraints on the model parameters are obtained.

The occurrence of inflationary era in the universe evolution seems to be inevitable because it allows the explanation of a great number of observed facts [1, 2]. Early inflation mechanisms [3, 4] were based on the consistent equations of scalar and gravitational fields. Nevertheless, the simplest inflation models could not explain the totality of observed data. In particular, the predictions of the chaotic inflation model [5, 6] about temperature fluctuations in cosmic background radiation do not contradict observations only for a rather unnatural form of the inflaton field potential (see also [7]).

At the same time, the interaction of a large number of various fields existing in nature should give rise to new phenomena in inflation scenario. Further development of the theory has led to the emergence of inflation models involving additional fields, among which are the models of hybrid inflation [5] and inflation on the pseudo Nambu - Goldstone field [6]. The interaction of the classical inflaton field with other particles produced by it is one of the basic elements of some inflation models. This effect provides a basis for the warm inflation scenario [7], which, however, is not free from flaws [8]; back reaction of the produced particles on the dynamics of inflaton field was considered in [9] [10].

The purpose of this work is to study the back reaction of an additional field on the classical motion of the basic inflaton field. It is assumed that the additional field is massive enough for it to be at the minimum of its effective potential during inflation. Nevertheless, it is shown below that its influence can noticeably decelerate the system motion.

In what follows, the simplest form of interaction is considered allowing the analytical results to be obtained. Namely, we introduce, apart from the inflaton field φ , an additional scalar field χ and write the action in the form

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{,\mu} \varphi^{,\mu} - V(\varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{,\mu} \chi^{,\mu} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \chi^2 - \kappa \chi u(\varphi) \right]. \quad (1)$$

where $u(\varphi)$ is a polynomial of degree no higher than three for the renormalizable theories. Below, $u(\varphi) = \varphi^2$ is taken for definiteness. The first power of the field χ in the interaction is necessary in order to obtain compact analytical results valid for an arbitrary coupling constant κ , rather than the expansion in powers of this constant. The interaction of this type arises in supersymmetric theories and is considered in hybrid inflation scenarios [11]. Dolgov and Hansen [9] used this type of interaction in studying the back reaction of produced particles on the motion of classical field.

The set of the classical equations for both fields is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} \partial^\mu \chi) + m_\chi^2 \chi + \kappa \varphi^2 &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} \partial^\mu \varphi) + V'(\varphi) + 2\kappa \varphi \chi &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let us consider the case of heavy χ particles. In the inflationary era, this means that

$$m_\chi \gg H(\varphi), \quad (3)$$

and the Hubble constant $H(\varphi)$ is determined by the slowly varying classical field φ . The first of Eqs.(2) can be brought to the form

$$\chi(x) = -\kappa \int G(x, x') \varphi^2(x') dx'. \quad (4)$$

The right-hand side of Eq.(4) can be simplified using the equation for the Green function $G(x, x')$ [12] written as

$$G(x, x') = \frac{1}{m^2} \delta(x - x') - \frac{1}{m^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} \partial^\mu G(x, x'). \quad (5)$$

After two iterations, the field χ takes the explicit form

$$\chi(x) \simeq -\frac{\kappa}{m_\chi^2} \varphi^2(x) + \frac{\kappa}{m_\chi^4} \partial^\mu \sqrt{-g} \partial_\mu \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \varphi^2(x) \right), \quad (6)$$

which is valid if the derivatives of the inflaton field φ are small. Substituting this expression into the second of Eqs. (2), one arrives at the following classical equation for the inflaton field:

$$\partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} \partial^\mu \varphi + \sqrt{-g} V'_{ren}(\varphi) + \frac{2\alpha^2}{m_\chi^2} \varphi \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} \partial^\mu \varphi^2 = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha \equiv \frac{\kappa}{m_\chi}$ a dimensionless parameter and $V_{ren}(\varphi) = V(\varphi) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \varphi^4$ is the potential of inflaton field renormalized due to interaction with the field χ . The last term on the left-hand side of Eq.(7) is usually treated as a back reaction of radiation [9]. Equation (7) corresponds to the effective action for inflaton field

$$S_{eff} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{,\mu} \varphi^{,\mu} - V_{ren}(\varphi) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\alpha^2}{2m^2} \varphi^2 \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} \partial^\mu \varphi^2 \right]. \quad (8)$$

Note that the correction $\delta V = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \varphi^4$ to the potential follows from the analysis of classical Eqs. (2). At the same time, the same expression can be obtained by calculating the first quantum correction to the φ -field potential interacting with the field χ at zero 4-momenta of external lines corresponding to the φ -field quanta. The internal line corresponds to the χ -field propagator in the s and t channels.

The last term in Eq.(7) is important for further consideration. Nonminimal kinetic term arises in equations for density fluctuations in early universe [13]. Morris [14] showed that a change in the form of kinetic term in the scalartensor theory leads to the inflation on a lower, than ordinary, energy scale, in agreement with the conclusions of this work. Similar result can be obtained by introducing a nonminimal interaction between an inflaton and a gravitational field [17, 18].

In general, the renormalized potential contains the sum of contributions from the corrections due to interaction with all existing fields. In the first model of chaotic inflation with the $\lambda\varphi^4$ potential, the observed data led to a value of $\lambda(\sim 10^{-13})$. This means that the corrections introduced to the expression for by all fields, including the correction $\delta V = -(\alpha^2/2)\varphi^4$ considered in this work, must cancel with a high accuracy.

Below, it is demonstrated that the renormalization of the kinetic term allows one, in particular, to weaken significantly the conditions imposed by the observations on the parameters of the theory. In weak fields, the contribution from the last term in Eqs.(7,8) is negligible. As to the inflation stage, it can be substantial at large field magnitudes.

During inflation, the field is assumed to be uniform; i.e., $\varphi = \varphi(t)$, and Eq.(7) is greatly simplified. Taking into account that the scale factor a is expressed in terms of the Hubble constant H in the ordinary way, $a = \exp(\int H dt)$, Eq.(7) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d^2\varphi}{dt^2} + 3H \frac{d\varphi}{dt} + V'_{ren}(\varphi) + \frac{4\alpha^2}{m_\chi^2} \left[3H \varphi^2 \frac{d\varphi}{dt} + \varphi^2 \frac{d^2\varphi}{dt^2} + \varphi \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dt} \right)^2 \right] = 0. \quad (9)$$

Slow time variation of the field φ implies that the terms proportional to $d^2\varphi/dt^2$ and $(d\varphi/dt)^2$ are small. Neglecting them, one obtains the easily integrable equation

$$\left(3H + \frac{12H\alpha^2}{m_\chi^2} \varphi^2 \right) \dot{\varphi} + V'_{ren}(\varphi) = 0. \quad (10)$$

In what follows, the nonrenormalized potential is taken in the form $V(\varphi) = \lambda_0 \varphi^4$, and, therefore, $V_{ren} = \lambda \varphi^4$ where, $\lambda = \lambda_0 - \alpha^2/2$. Taking into account the usual relation $H = \sqrt{8\pi V_{ren}(\varphi)}/3/M_P$ between the Hubble constant and the potential, one can easily obtain the field variable φ as an implicit function of time:

$$t = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi/2}}{M_P \sqrt{\lambda}} \left[\ln(\varphi_0/\varphi) + \frac{2\alpha^2}{m_\chi^2} (\varphi_0^2 - \varphi^2) \right]. \quad (11)$$

Here, the first term reproduces the result of the standard inflation model. The second term results from the interaction of the inflaton field and the field χ . It follows from Eq.(10) that the second term dominates at

$$\varphi \geq \varphi_c \equiv \frac{m_\chi}{2\alpha}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, there are two inflation stages: the ordinary stage at $\varphi \leq \varphi_c$ and the ultraslow stage at $\varphi \geq \varphi_c$. Indeed, the field motion velocity obtained from (10) with allowance made for Eq.(12) is much smaller than its ordinary value $\dot{\varphi} = V'/3H$. The first inflation stage is completed when condition (12) ceases to be true. Then the ordinary inflation stage $\ddot{\varphi} \ll 3H\dot{\varphi}$ begins and continues as long as the condition is satisfied.

Because the second stage has been much studied, I will analyze the first stage, for which the second term in Eqs. (10,11) dominates, i.e., for $\varphi > \varphi_c$. In this case, the field depends on time as

$$\varphi(t) = \sqrt{\varphi_0^2 - t \frac{M_P m_\chi^2}{\alpha^2 \sqrt{6\pi}}}. \quad (13)$$

This expression is derived under the ultraslow roll-down condition, which, according to Eq.(9), has a rather unusual form $\ddot{\varphi} \ll 12H\dot{\varphi} \frac{\alpha^2}{m_\chi^2}$.

Let us determine the amplitude of quantum fluctuations arising at the first inflation stage for the potential $\lambda\varphi^4$. This can most easily be done by taking into account that the first term in Eqs.(7) and (10) is much smaller than the third one and introducing an auxiliary field $\tilde{\varphi}$, after which the substitution $\tilde{\varphi} = (\alpha/m_\chi)\varphi^2$ brings action to the form

$$S = \int dx \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi} \partial^\mu \tilde{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \right], \quad (14)$$

corresponding to a free massive field with mass $\tilde{m} \equiv m_\chi \sqrt{2\lambda}/\alpha$. This substitution is valid at the inflation stage under consideration, when the field value is positive. The fluctuation amplitude for the massive noninteracting field is known to be $\Delta\tilde{\varphi} = \sqrt{3/(8\pi^2)} H^2/\tilde{m}$ [15]. On the scale of modern horizon, the constraint on the mass of quanta of this field is also known: $\tilde{m} \sim 10^{-6} M_P$, as is obtained from the comparison with the COBE measurements of the energy-density fluctuations, $\delta\rho/\rho \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ [16]. Expressing $\tilde{m}$ in terms of the initial parameters, one obtains the following relation between them:

$$\frac{m_\chi}{M_P} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\alpha} \sim 10^{-6} \quad (15)$$

Let us determine the field φ_U at which a causally connected area was formed, which generated the visible part of the universe. The number of e-foldings necessary to explain the observed data is $N_U \approx 60$. Then, using the relation $N_U = \int_{\varphi_U}^{\varphi_{end}} H dt$

$$\begin{aligned} N_U &= \int_{\varphi_U}^{\varphi_c} \frac{H(\varphi)}{\dot{\varphi}} d\varphi + \int_{\varphi_c}^{\varphi_{end}} \frac{H(\varphi)}{\dot{\varphi}} d\varphi = \\ &= \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{M_P^2 m_\chi^2} (\varphi_U^4 - \varphi_c^4) + \frac{\pi}{M_P^2} (\varphi_c^2 - \varphi_{end}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where it is taken into account that the time dependencies of the field φ at the first and the second inflation stages are different. The second stage is completed at $\varphi = \varphi_{end}$. Assuming that the first term containing the initial value of the field φ_U dominates, one obtains the desired expression

$$\varphi_U \simeq \left(\frac{N_U}{2\pi} \right)^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{M_P m_\chi}{\alpha}}. \quad (17)$$

Note that the visible part of the universe in this case can be formed at $\varphi < M_P$, i.e., rather late. This is explained by the fact that at the first stage the field moves ultraslowly and the universe has had time to expand to the suitable size.

Expression (17) differs substantially from the standard result $\varphi_U \sim M_P$, which is obtained for the inflaton field with potential $\lambda\varphi^4$ without regard for the interaction with the massive fields of other sorts $\varphi_U = \sqrt{N_U/\pi}M_P$.

The second term in Eq.(16) determines the number N_2 of e-foldings at the second inflation stage. Assuming that $\varphi_c^2 \gg \varphi_{end}^2$ and substituting the value φ_c from Eq.(12)), one has

$$N_2 = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{m_\chi}{\alpha M_P} \right)^2. \quad (18)$$

Evidently, over a wide range of parameters α and m_χ , the second stage may be short or absent at all.

The above arguments are valid if the field m_χ is massive enough so that it is placed at the minimum of its effective potential during inflation. As is known, the field rapidly rolls down to the minimum if the Hubble constant becomes smaller than the field mass, i.e., if $H < m_\chi$. The Hubble constant depends on time. For this reason, the necessary estimates will be made for the instant the visible universe originated ($\varphi = \varphi_U$), when the largest scale fluctuations arise. Simple mathematics gives

$$m_\chi > H(\varphi_U) \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\alpha} \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4N_U}} \sim 0.1. \quad (19)$$

This restriction indicates that one cannot fully avoid the fine fitting of parameters because $\lambda = \lambda_0 - \alpha^2/2$ and, according to constraint (19), $\alpha^2 \geq 100\lambda$. Nevertheless, this fitting is weaker than that requiring the cancellation of all quantum corrections down to a value of $\sim 10^{-13}$ in the early inflation models with the potential $\lambda\varphi^4$. Using Eqs.(15) and (19), one can easily obtain a rather weak limitation: $m_\chi \geq 10^{-5}M_P$ on the mass of the additional field χ .

Thus, a particular example was taken in this work to demonstrate that massive fields, even being at their minimum (which depends on the magnitude of inflaton field), can materially decelerate the motion of the main inflaton field at the first inflation stage. Due to the first, ultraslow, stage, the visible universe could form at $\varphi < M_P$. The second stage precedes the completion of inflation and evolves in the ordinary way, but is rather short. In particular, for the parameters $m_\chi = 10^{-3}M_P$ and $\lambda = 10^6$, one has: the visible universe formed at $\varphi_U \approx 5 \cdot 10^2 M_P$; the first and second stages are separated at $\varphi_c \approx 5 \cdot 10^4 M_P$; and the second inflation stage is much shorter than the first one.

The inclusion of the interaction between the inflaton field and more massive fields enables one to materially weaken the constraints imposed on the potential parameters by the smallness of energy density fluctuations, although one fails to fully avoid the fine tuning of the parameters. The effects considered are associated with the renormalization of the kinetic term for the inflaton field interacting with an additional massive field. Because the similar renormalization takes place for every sorts of additional fields [17], the inclusion of new fields will enhance the effect of deceleration of classical motion at high energies.

I am grateful to A.A. Sakharov for useful comments, M.Yu. Khlopov for interest in the work, and the referee for constructive criticism. This work was supported by the Russian State Scientific Engineering Program 'Astronomy. Fundamental Cosmic Research' (project 'Cosmoparticle Physics') and by the International Collaboration Cosmion-ETHZ and Epcos-AMS.

References

- [1] A. D. Linde, *The Large-scale Structure of the Universe* (Harwood Academic Publishers, London, 1990).
- [2] M.Yu. Khlopov, *Cosmoparticle Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong, 1999).
- [3] A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).
- [4] A.H.Guth, Phys. Rev.D **23**, 347 (1981).

- [5] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett.B **259**, 38 (1991).
- [6] K. Freese, Phys.Rev. D **50**, 7731 (1994).
- [7] A.N. Taylor and A. Berera, Phys.Rev. D **62**, 083517 (2000).
- [8] J. Yokoyama and A. Linde, Phys.Rev. D **60**, 083509, (1999).
- [9] A.D.Dolgov and S.H.Hansen, Nucl.Phys. **B548**, pp.408–426 (1999).
- [10] I. Dymnikova and M.Yu. Khlopov, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A15**, 2305 (2000).
- [11] D.H.Lyth and E. D. Stewart, Phys.Rev. **D54**, p.7186, (1996).
- [12] N.D.Birrell and P.C.W.Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge London New York Sydney, 1982).
- [13] V.N.Lukash, JETP **79**, 1601 (1980).
- [14] J.R. Morris, gr-qc/0106022 (2001).
- [15] T.S. Bunch and P.C.W. Davies, Proc.R.Soc. **A360**, 117 (1978).
- [16] C.L.Bennett, Astrophys.J.Lett. **464**, L1 (1996).
- [17] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1984).